

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT C. ARANT, *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. C07-509RSL

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR CURATIVE
INSTRUCTION

This matter comes before the Court on “Defendant’s Motion for Curative Instruction” (Dkt. #32). Defendant Arant’s motion relates to a search warrant executed at his residence on April 18, 2007. See Motion at 2 (“Defendant has observed the Plaintiff invading his home and stealing his belongings under threat of use of deadly force, wearing body armor and bearing firearms.”); Dkt. ##1, 2 in Cause No. MJ07-193. In his motion, defendant claims that the April 18, 2007 search violated federal and Washington state criminal statutes and as a result defendant requests a “curative instruction” whether he can “arrest Plaintiff’s counselors and agents” in the “U.S. District Court courtroom” and “bring into court the arms necessary to perform any such authorized arrest.” See Motion at 1-2, 7. The search of defendant’s residence was executed pursuant to a valid warrant, and defendant is hereby on notice that he is barred from bringing arms into the courtroom. See 18 U.S.C. § 930(e)(1) (“[W]hoever knowingly possesses or causes to be present a firearm in a Federal court facility, or attempts to do so, shall be fined under this

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT’S MOTION
FOR CURATIVE INSTRUCTION

1 title, imprisoned not more than 2 years, or both.”). Therefore, defendant is PROHIBITED from
2 arresting “Plaintiff’s counselors and agents” and bringing arms to the court, and “Defendant’s
3 Motion for Curative Instruction” (Dkt. #32) is DENIED.

4
5 DATED this 24th day of September, 2007.

6 

7 Robert S. Lasnik
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26